

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/017,880	12/13/2001	Nurith Kurn	492692000300	9067	
25226	7590 01/12/2004	,	EXAM	EXAMINER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 755 PAGE MILL RD			SPIEGLER, AL	SPIEGLER, ALEXANDER H	
	D. CA 94304-1018		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1637	<u> </u>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/017,880	KURN, NURITH	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Alexander H. Spiegler	1637	
The MAI Period for Reply	LING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT - If the period for rep - If NO period for rep - Failure to reply with - Any reply received	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI may be available under the provisions THS from the mailing date of this comn lys specified above is less than thirty (3 bly is specified above, the maximum stain the set or extended period for reply	of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
<u></u>	sive to communication(s) fil	led on <u>21 January 2003</u> .		
<u> </u>	ion is FINAL .	2b) This action is non-final.		
	n accordance with the prac	n for allowance except for formal matt tice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D		
4) Claim(s)	1-185 is/are pending in the	e application.	·	
4a) Of the	above claim(s) <u>16-31, 56-</u>	81, 97-112, 137-162, 166-167 and 17	72-185 is/are withdrawn from	
consideration.				
	is/are allowed.		*	
5) Claim(s)		. <u>163-165 and 168-171</u> is/are rejected.	* .	
5)		. <u>163-165 and 168-171</u> is/are rejected.	* .	
5) ☐ Claim(s) . 6) ☑ Claim(s) . 7) ☐ Claim(s) . 8) ☐ Claim(s) .	1-15,32-55,82-96,113-136, is/are objected to. are subject to restric	. <u>163-165 and 168-171</u> is/are rejected. ction and/or election requirement.		
5) Claim(s) 6) Claim(s) 7) Claim(s) 8) Claim(s) Application Paper	1-15,32-55,82-96,113-136, is/are objected to. are subject to restrices	ction and/or election requirement.		
5) Claim(s) 6) Claim(s) 7) Claim(s) 8) Claim(s) Application Paper 9) The specif	1-15,32-55,82-96,113-136, is/are objected to. are subject to restrices s	etion and/or election requirement.		
5) Claim(s) 6) Claim(s) 7) Claim(s) 8) Claim(s) Application Paper 9) The specif	1-15,32-55,82-96,113-136, is/are objected to are subject to restrices fication is objected to by the ng(s) filed on is/are:	ction and/or election requirement. e Examiner. a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by th	e Examiner.	
5) Claim(s) 6) Claim(s) 7) Claim(s) 8) Claim(s) Application Paper 9) The specif	1-15,32-55,82-96,113-136, is/are objected to are subject to restrices fication is objected to by the ng(s) filed on is/are: t may not request that any objected to by	ction and/or election requirement. e Examiner. a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the jection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	e Examiner. nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
5) Claim(s) 6) Claim(s) 7) Claim(s) 8) Claim(s) Application Paper 9) The specif 10) The drawin Applican 11) The propo	is/are objected to is/are objected to are subject to restrict s fication is objected to by the ng(s) filed on is/are: t may not request that any objected drawing correction filed	etion and/or election requirement. e Examiner. a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the jection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand on is: a) □ approved b) □ distance.	e Examiner. nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
5) Claim(s) 6) Claim(s) 7) Claim(s) 8) Claim(s) Application Paper 9) The specif 10) The drawin Applican 11) The propo	is/are objected to is/are objected to are subject to restrict s fication is objected to by the ng(s) filed on is/are: t may not request that any objected drawing correction fileded, corrected drawings are received.	e Examiner. a) accepted or b) objected to by the jection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand on is: a) approved b) disquired in reply to this Office action.	e Examiner. nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
5) Claim(s) 6) Claim(s) 7) Claim(s) 8) Claim(s) 8) Claim(s) Application Paper 9) The specif 10) The drawin Applican 11) The propo If approv 12) The oath o	is/are objected to is/are objected to are subject to restricts fication is objected to by the ng(s) filed on is/are: t may not request that any objected drawing correction filed ed, corrected drawings are report declaration is objected to	e Examiner. a) accepted or b) objected to by the jection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand on is: a) approved b) disquired in reply to this Office action.	e Examiner. nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
6) Claim(s) 7) Claim(s) 8) Claim(s) 8) Claim(s) Application Paper 9) The specif 10) The drawin Applican 11) The propo If approv 12) The oath of	is/are objected to is/are objected to are subject to restricts fication is objected to by the ng(s) filed on is/are: t may not request that any objected drawing correction filed ed, corrected drawings are report declaration is objected to J.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	e Examiner. a) accepted or b) objected to by the jection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand on is: a) approved b) disquired in reply to this Office action. b by the Examiner.	ne Examiner. Ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Sapproved by the Examiner.	
5) Claim(s) 6) Claim(s) 7) Claim(s) 8) Claim(s) 8) Claim(s) Application Paper 9) The specif 10) The drawin Applican 11) The propo If approv 12) The oath of Priority under 35 to	is/are objected to is/are objected to are subject to restricts fication is objected to by the ng(s) filed on is/are: t may not request that any objected drawing correction filed ed, corrected drawings are report declaration is objected to J.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 edgment is made of a claim	e Examiner. a) accepted or b) objected to by the jection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand on is: a) approved b) disquired in reply to this Office action.	ne Examiner. Ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Sapproved by the Examiner.	
5) Claim(s) 6) Claim(s) 7) Claim(s) 8) Claim(s) 8) Claim(s) Application Paper 9) The specif 10) The drawin Applican 11) The propo If approv 12) The oath of Priority under 35 to 13) Acknowle	is/are objected to. is/are objected to. are subject to restricts fication is objected to by the ng(s) filed on is/are: t may not request that any objected drawing correction filed ed, corrected drawings are recorded are declaration is objected to J.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 edgment is made of a claim. Some * c) None of:	e Examiner. a) accepted or b) objected to by the jection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand on is: a) approved b) disquired in reply to this Office action. b by the Examiner.	ne Examiner. Ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Sapproved by the Examiner.	

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Road Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO- 		4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:		
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Offic A -41 - 11 October		Don't of Donor No. 0	004	-

PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Offic Action Summary

Part of Paper No. 2004

Application/Control Number: 10/017,880

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

1. This action is in response to Applicants' response, filed on August 14, 2003. Currently, claims 1-3, 6-34, 36-84, 87-115, 117-185 are pending, Claims 16-31, 56-81, 97-112, 137-162, 166, 167 and 172-185 have been withdrawn, and Claims 1-3, 6-15, 32-34, 36-55, 82-84, 87-96, 113-115, 117-136, 163-165 and 168-171 are currently under consideration and are rejected. All arguments have been fully considered and thoroughly reviewed, but are deemed not persuasive for the reasons that follow. This action is made FINAL. Any objections and rejections not reiterated below are hereby withdrawn. Specifically, 112, 2nd paragraph rejections have been withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendments and arguments.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement of February 20, 2002 complies with CFR 1.97, 1.98, and M.P.E.P. 609, and has been considered (see enclosed signed PTO-1449).

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/017,880 Page 3

Art Unit: 1637

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-15, 32-55, 82-96, 113-136, 163-165, 168-171 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-116 of U.S. Patent No. 6,251,639 in view of Soderlund et al. (USPN 6,013,431).

Claim 1 of the instant application recites:

- 1. A method of generating multiple copies of a nucleic acid sequence of interest, said method comprising the steps of:
- (a) hybridizing a composite primer to a target polynucleotide, wherein the composite primer comprises an RNA portion and a 3' DNA portion, the 3' DNA portion comprising a 3' most nucleotide, such that 3' most of the 3' DNA portion hybridizes from about 1 to about 10 nucleotides from the sequence of interest.;
- (b) extending the composite primer with DNA polymerase under conditions that permit primer extension, whereby a primer extension product is produced; and;
- (c) cleaving the RNA portion of the primer extension product of (b) with an enzyme that cleaves RNA from an RNA/DNA hybrid such that the cleaved primer extension product dissociates from the target polynucleotide,

wherein the primer extension product is of a size that when the RNA is cleaved the cleaved primer extension product dissociates from the target polynucleotide under essentially the same conditions as those for primer extension, whereby multiple copies of the sequence of interest are produced.

Similarly, claims 1-2 of '639 recite:

- 1. A method for amplifying a polynucleotide sequence complementary to a target polynucleotide sequence comprising:
- (a) hybridizing a single stranded DNA template comprising the target sequence with a composite primer, said composite primer comprising an RNA portion and a 3' DNA portion;
- (b) optionally hybridizing a polynucleotide comprising a termination polynucleotide sequence to a region of the template which is 5' with respect to hybridization of the composite primer to the template;
 - (c) extending the composite primer with DNA polymerase;
- (d) cleaving the RNA portion of the annealed composite with an enzyme that cleaves RNA from an RNA/DNA hybrid such that another composite primer hybridizes to the template and repeats primer extension by strand displacement,

whereby multiple copies of the complementary sequence of the target sequence are produced.

Application/Control Number: 10/017,880

Art Unit: 1637

- 2. A method for amplifying a target polynucleotide sequence comprising:
- (a) hybridizing a single stranded DNA template comprising the target sequence with a composite primer, said composite primer comprising an RNA portion and a 3' DNA portion;
- (b) optionally hybridizing a polynucleotide comprising a termination polynucleotide sequence to a region of the template which is 5' with respect to hybridization of the composite primer to the template;
 - (c) extending the composite primer with DNA polymerase;
- (d) cleaving the RNA portion of the annealed composite primer with an enzyme that cleaves RNA from an RNA/DNA hybrid such that another composite primer hybridizes to the template and repeats primer extension by strand displacement to produce displaced primer extension product;
- (e) hybridizing a polynucleotide comprising a promoter and a region which hybridizes to the displaced primer extension product under conditions which allow transcription to occur by RNA polymerase, such that RNA transcripts are produced comprising sequences complementary to the displaced primer extension products,

whereby multiple copies of the target sequence are produced.

Additionally, claim 23 is drawn to "characterizing a sequence of interest in a target polynucleotide, and claim 27 is drawn to "detecting a mutation in a target polynucleotide" using the amplification reaction in either claim 1 or 2.

Therefore, the claims of '639 differ from the claims of the instant application in that does not disclose the limitation that the 3' most nucleotide of the 3' DNA portion of the primer hybridizes from about 1 to about 10 nucleotides from the sequence of interest.

However, hybridizing a primer near a sequence of interest is well known in the art to aid in identifying variations. For example, Soderlund et al. teaches the hybridization of the 3' most nucleotide of the 3' DNA portion of a primer for identifying single nucleotide variations (see Figs. 1-3, cols. 3-9). Soderlund also teaches many of the common methodologies accompanying amplification and detection reactions, such as the use of probes; solid supports, and labels (cols. 3-17).

In view of the teachings of Soderlund et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the method of '639

Art Unit: 1637

so as to have included the steps of hybridizing 3' most nucleotide of the 3' DNA portion of the primer from about 1 to about 10 nucleotides from the sequence of interest, in order to have achieved the benefit of providing an effective means of detecting and identifying nucleotide variations.

Applicants Arguments

Applicants argue the instant invention does not recite the limitation of "another composite primer hybridizes to the template and repeats primer extension by strand displacement", which occurs in Claim 1(d) of the '639 patent. Applicants argue the Examiner did not show a suggestion or motivation to modify the teaching of the '639 patent to delete or modify step 1(d) of the '639 patent. Applicants also argue Claim 2 of the '639 patent does not teach the same limitations of the instant invention, and that the claims of the '639 patent teach additional limitations not required by the instant claims.

Response to Applicants Arguments

Applicants' arguments have been considered, but are not persuasive for several reasons. First, all of the pending claims are drawn to methods "comprising", which therefore allows for additional limitations to be present in the claims of the '639 patent that do not appear in the instant invention. Accordingly, because the instant claims are drawn to methods "comprising" (which allows for additional limitations other than the limitations specified) there does not need to be a motivation or suggestion to remove the additional limitations that may occur in the '639 patent and do not occur in the instant claims.

Applicants' argument with respect the differences between Claim 1 of the '639 patent and the instant claims is also not persuasive. Applicants' argue Claim 1(d) of the '639 patent and

Application/Control Number: 10/017,880 Page 6

Art Unit: 1637

Claim 1(c) of the instant invention are patentably distinct because Claim 1(d) has an additional limitation that "another composite primer hybridizes to the template and repeats primer extension by strand displacement". However, Claim 1(d) '639 patent necessarily includes the limitations of instant claim 1. That is, before any addition of another composite primer (as taught by the '639 patent), the RNA from an RNA/DNA hybrid is cleaved, and the cleaved primer extension product dissociates from the target polynucleotide, wherein the primer extension product is of a size that when the RNA is cleaved the cleaved primer extension product dissociates from the target polynucleotide under essentially the same conditions as those for primer extension, whereby multiple copies of the sequence of interest are produced. Specifically, instant Claim 1(c) occurs before the adding of another composite primer, and thus the addition of the composite primer is an additional step to the instant claims. This can be seen in Figure 1 of the instant invention. In Figure 1, the RNA is cleaved from the RNA/DNA hybrid (by RNase H); the extended cleaved primer extension product is dissociated such that another composite primer hybridizes to the template and repeats primer extension by strand displacement. Thus, the dissociation of the cleaved primer extension product must necessarily precede the addition of another composite primer. Since the instant claims are drawn to methods "comprising", the additional step of cleaving the hybrid "such that another composite primer hybridizes to the template" is permitted and still reads on the claimed invention.

Accordingly, the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

5. No claims are allowable.

Application/Control Number: 10/017,880 Page 7

Art Unit: 1637

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander H. Spiegler whose telephone number is (703) 305-0806 or (571) 272-0788 after January 22, 2004. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the primary examiner in charge of the prosecution of this case, Carla Myers, can be reached at (703) 308-2199 or at (571) 272-0747 after January 13, 2004. If attempts to reach Carla Myers are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (703) 308-1119 or at (571) 272-0782 after January 22, 2004. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Applicant is also invited to contact the TC 1600 Customer Service Hotline at (703) 308-0198.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Alexander H. Spiegler

January 7, 2004

GARY BENZION, PH.B

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600